



The effect of corporate board attributes on bank stability

Renata Karkowska¹  & Jan Acedański²

Received: 12 April 2018 / Accepted: 24 June 2019 / Published online: 18 July 2019
The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

This study aims to empirically identify how a bank's board structure (size, independence, and members' affiliations) and quality (experience, background, and skills) affect its risk incentives. Specifically, it investigates whether banks' solvency and corporate governance nexus changed after the 2007–2009 financial crisis. We employ a cross-country sample of 239 commercial and publicly traded banks covering 1997–2016 and a panel regression for 40 countries. We acknowledge a negative relationship between board size and bank stability and demonstrate that an independent board may have constrained rather than encouraged risk in banks. The global financial crisis has not changed much in the corporate governance and stability of banks nexus. These findings are robust even while controlling for a range of alternative sensitivity estimations for bank stability. This result indicates that in the aftermath of the market meltdown, we still need to strengthen corporate governance practices which may mitigate the adverse effects of the crisis on the banking sector.

Keywords Corporate governance · Board structure · Board quality · Banking · Stability · Financial crisis

JEL classification G1 · G21 · G32 · G38

* Renata Karkowska
rkarkowska@wz.uw.edu.pl

¹ Department of Insurance and Capital Markets, Faculty of Management, University of Warsaw, Szturmowa Str. 1/3, 02-678 Warsaw, Poland

² Department of Statistical and Mathematical Methods in Economics, University of Economics in Katowice, Katowice, Poland